

A SOLUTION TO THE MONOTONICITY PROBLEM FOR UNIMODAL FAMILIES

JOHN TAYLOR

ABSTRACT. In this note we consider a collection $\mathcal{C}$ of one parameter families of unimodal maps of $[0, 1]$. Each family in the collection has the form $\{\mu f\}$ where $\mu \in [0, 1]$. Denoting the kneading sequence of μf by $K(\mu f)$, we will prove that for each member of $\mathcal{C}$, the map $\mu \mapsto K(\mu f)$ is monotone. It then follows that for each member of $\mathcal{C}$ the map $\mu \mapsto h(\mu f)$ is monotone, where $h(\mu f)$ is the topological entropy of μf . For interest, $\mu f(x) = 4\mu x(1 - x)$ and $\mu f(x) = \mu \sin(\pi x)$ are shown to belong to $\mathcal{C}$.

INTRODUCTION

Metropolis, Stein and Stein were among the first, to my knowledge, to study what are now called finite kneading sequences. These were associated with super stable limit cycles of one parameter families of interval maps, which included $\mu f(x) = 4\mu x(1 - x)$ and $\mu f(x) = \mu \sin(\pi x)$ [2]. Computer studies strongly suggested a universal topological dynamics for a large class of such families, and many workers were quickly drawn to this fascinating field of study. In the 1980's and 1990's there was intense interest in the behavior of the logistic map (affinely modified) in the setting of one complex dimension. A central question was (essentially) under what circumstances would finite kneading sequences be monotone with the parameter, as this question was associated with the structure of the Mandelbrot set, among other things. This question was successfully addressed (in the special case of a real quadratic) in [1, 5]. Here we address the question generally for a large class that includes the logistic map, and give a sufficient condition for the solution.

All known proofs of this type apply to the case of a quadratic polynomial only and use complex analytic methods (holomorphic techniques) or depend on complex analysis (Compare [1], [5], [6], [7] [8]). These methods are not used here.

Let $I = [0, 1]$. Consider the collection of parameterized maps $\{\mu f \mid \mu f : I \rightarrow I\}$ with μf at least $C^{(3)}$ in x . Notice that since $\mu f(x)$ is linear in μ , it is C^∞ in μ . Denote the single critical point $c \in (0, 1)$ and scale the map so that $f(c) = 1$, requiring that $f(0) = 0 = f(1)$. Then $\mu f(c) = \mu$. Denote the n^{th} iterate of μf by $f_\mu^n(x) = (\mu f) \circ \cdots \circ (\mu f) \circ (\mu f)(x)$, where the composition is n -fold.

For any $x \in I$, the *orbit* of x is the set $O(x) = \{f_\mu^n(x) \mid n \geq 0\}$. Associate with $O(x)$ the word $\omega(x) = \omega_0 \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots$ with $\omega_k \in \{L, C, R\}$ where words are formed as follows:

Date: May 15, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37E05, 54H20; Secondary 37B40.

Key words and phrases. One Parameter Family, Unimodal Map, Monotonicity, Kneading Sequence, Topological Entropy.

$$\omega_k = \begin{cases} L, & \text{for } f_\mu^k(x) < c \\ C, & \text{for } f_\mu^k(x) = c \\ R, & \text{for } f_\mu^k(x) > c \end{cases}$$

$\omega(x)$ is called the *itinerary* of x under f . We are interested in studying the itinerary associated with $O(\mu)$. This special itinerary is called the *kneading sequence* of μf , symbolized $K(\mu f) = \omega(\mu)$.

In particular, we concentrate on finite itineraries having the form $\omega_0\omega_1\omega_2\cdots C$, as these correspond to (super stable) periodic points.

Define $\mathcal{K} = \{\omega \mid 1 \leq |\omega| < \infty, \omega = K(\mu f), f \in \mathcal{C}\}$. That is, $\mathcal{K}$ is exactly all finite kneading sequences for any member of $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{K}_n$ represent all kneading sequences of length n . For each $n \geq 1$, it is known that

$$|\mathcal{K}_n| = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum \mu(d) 2^{n/d}$$

where the sum is taken over all odd square free divisors of n . [5]

The following preliminaries are necessary to give meaning to the statement $\mu \mapsto K(\mu f)$ is monotone; explicitly, we need a total order $\prec$ on the kneading sequences that reflects the order of the real line in the sense that $x < y$ implies $\omega(x) \preceq \omega(y)$.

This is done by defining $L \prec C \prec R$. Then, if $A = \{a_k\} \neq B = \{b_k\}$, let N be the smallest index for which $a_N \neq b_N$ and let ρ_{N-1} be the number of R 's in the word $a_1 \cdots a_{N-1}$. Then define $A \prec B$ if $a_N \prec b_N$ and ρ_{N-1} is even or if $a_N \succ b_N$ and ρ_{N-1} is odd.

This order is sometimes referred to as the *parity-lexicographic* order. The intuition derives from the fact that μf is orientation preserving or reversing according as $x \in (0, c)$ or $x \in (c, 1)$.

A word ω is called *maximal* (or *shift-maximal*) provided it is greater (in the parity lexicographic order) than all of its shifts, where, as usual, the shift operator σ is defined by the action $\sigma(\omega) = \omega_1\omega_2\omega_3\cdots$ on the word $\omega = \omega_0\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3\cdots$.

In kneading theory there are several versions of an ‘‘intermediate value theorem’’. This type of theorem is fundamental in that it relates abstract words to the behavior of dynamical systems. That is, it connects the set of kneading sequences ordered by the relation $\prec$ and the parameter space (an interval in the real line) with the usual order. The following version is essentially that found in [3]:

Theorem A Let $\{\mu f\}$ be any one parameter family of C^1 unimodal maps. If $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ are two parameter values with corresponding kneading sequences $K(\mu_1 f) \prec K(\mu_2 f)$, and if ω is any shift-maximal sequence with the property that

$$K(\mu_1 f) \prec \omega \prec K(\mu_2 f),$$

then there exists a μ such that $\mu_1 < \mu < \mu_2$ and $\omega = K(\mu f)$.

ITERATING INVERSE FUNCTIONS ALONG A WORD

Since μf double covers $[0, \mu]$ in such a way that $\mu f([0, c]) = [0, \mu] = \mu f([c, 1])$, the functions $(\mu f)_\omega^{-1}$, $\omega \in \{L, R\}$ have the action

$$(\mu f)_L^{-1}([0, \mu]) = [0, c] \text{ and } (\mu f)_R^{-1}([0, \mu]) = [c, 1].$$

Notice that, given f , we can write $(\mu f)_\omega^{-1}(x)$ as a function of three variables, one of which is a word:

$$G_\omega(\mu, x) = (\mu f)_\omega^{-1}(x)$$

Let $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n$.

Define $G_{\omega_n}(\mu, x) = (\mu f)_{\omega_n}^{-1}(x)|_{x=c} = (\mu f)_{\omega_n}^{-1}(c) = g_{\omega_n}(\mu)$;

$$G_{\omega_{n-1}\omega_n}[\mu, x] = G_{\omega_{n-1}}[\mu, g_{\omega_n}(\mu)] = G_{\omega_{n-1}}[\mu, (\mu f)_{\omega_n}^{-1}(c)]$$

$$= (\mu f)_{\omega_{n-1}}^{-1} [(\mu f)_{\omega_n}^{-1}(c)]$$

$$= (\mu f)_{\omega_{n-1}}^{-1} \circ (\mu f)_{\omega_n}^{-1}(c)$$

$$= g_{\omega_{n-1}} \circ g_{\omega_n}(\mu)$$

$$= g_{\omega_{n-1}\omega_n}(\mu).$$

Continuing,

$$G_{\omega_1\omega_2\cdots\omega_n}[\mu, x] = G_{\omega_1}[\mu, g_{\omega_2\cdots\omega_n}(\mu)]$$

$$= G_{\omega_1}[\mu, (\mu f)_{\omega_2}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ (\mu f)_{\omega_n}^{-1}(c)]$$

$$= (\mu f)_{\omega_1}^{-1} [(\mu f)_{\omega_2}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ (\mu f)_{\omega_n}^{-1}(c)]$$

$$= (\mu f)_{\omega_1}^{-1} \circ (\mu f)_{\omega_2}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ (\mu f)_{\omega_n}^{-1}(c)$$

$$= g_{\omega_1} \circ g_{\omega_2} \circ \cdots \circ g_{\omega_n}(\mu)$$

$$= g_{\omega_1\omega_2\cdots\omega_n}(\mu)$$

$$= g_\omega(\mu).$$

Observe that the iteration is in the variable x , producing functions of μ alone. This is as it should be, as the functions $g_\omega(\mu)$ live in x -space but move with μ .

Notice also that $f^k(g_\omega(\mu)) = g_{\sigma^k(\omega)}(\mu)$, and in particular, $f^{|\omega|}(g_\omega(\mu)) = c$.

For any C^3 function ψ , let $S(\psi) = \frac{\psi'''}{\psi'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\psi''}{\psi'} \right)^2$. A simple computation reveals that if ϕ is also a C^3 function and if $S(\phi) > 0$, $S(\psi) > 0$, then $S(\psi \circ \phi) > 0$.

MAIN SECTION

Here we prove that for each member of $\mathcal{C}$, as defined just below, the map $\mu \mapsto K(\mu f)$ is monotone, and receive as a corollary that the topological entropy $\mu \mapsto h(\mu f)$ is also monotone.

Consider the family $\mathcal{C}$ with the following properties:

- 1) For each μ there exists a unique fixed point for μf in $(0, 1)$.
- 2) For each fixed μ and for all $n \geq 1$, f_μ^n has at most one attracting periodic orbit, and $O(\mu)$ is asymptotic to this attracting periodic orbit.
- 3) $S[(\mu f)_\omega^{-1}] > 0$ for all μ , where $\omega \in \{L, R\}$.

Remarks

$\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ since

- (i) Concave maps, for example, have property 1.
- (ii) It is known that if $S(f) < 0$ for all x , then property 2 holds. [4]
- (iii) One can check that $S[(\mu f)_\omega^{-1}] > 0$ for all μ ($\omega \in \{L, R\}$) and that $S(f) < 0$ for all x when $f(x) = 4x(1 - x)$.

Lemma Assume that there is a $\mu^* \in (c, 1]$ with $g_\omega(\mu^*) = \mu^*$, where $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_{k-1} \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $f_{\mu^*}^k(c) = c$, that is, μ^* corresponds to a super stable k -cycle.

In the proof the generic μ replaces the μ^* of the hypothesis for ease of reading.

Proof $g_\omega(\mu) = (\mu f)_{\omega_1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ (\mu f)_{\omega_{k-1}}^{-1}(c) = \mu \Rightarrow$
 $c = f_\mu^{k-1}[g_\omega(\mu)] = f_\mu^{k-1}[(\mu f)_{\omega_1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ (\mu f)_{\omega_{k-1}}^{-1}(c)] = f_\mu^{k-1}(\mu) = f_\mu^{k-1}[\mu f(c)] = f_\mu^k(c).$

□

Note that by continuity there is an open set of parameter values containing μ^* for which the composition $g_\omega(\mu) = (\mu f)_{\omega_1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ (\mu f)_{\omega_{k-1}}^{-1}(c)$ is defined.

Remarks:

Denote by $G_n(\mu)$ the graph of f_μ^n . It follows from the implicit function theorem that, for all $n \geq 1$, $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, level functions of order k exist so long as the intersection of $G_n(\mu)$ with the line $y = c$ exists.

But this intersection exists for all $\mu > \mu^*$, where μ^* is the parameter value with the property that, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, $g_\omega(\mu^*) = \mu^*$, $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{k-1}$; for then, $f_{\mu^*}^k(c) = c$ in $G_n(\mu)$ by the lemma. Therefore, so long as μ^* is unique with the above property, we see that for all $\mu > \mu^*$, the intersection of $G_n(\mu)$ and the line $y = c$ persists, and so, the level functions g_ω , $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{n-1}$ exist on a connected domain.

As mentioned above, a certain number of these g_ω , $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{n-1}$ will have fixed points, and these will correspond to super stable points of period n by the lemma.

Theorem For each member of $\mathcal{C}$, the map $\mu \mapsto K(\mu f)$ is monotone.

Proof Since each member of $\mathcal{C}$ is of the form μf , there exists a unique parameter value μ^* , namely $\mu^* = c$, such that for all $\mu > \mu^*$, $g_R(\mu)$ exists on the domain $(\mu^*, 1]$. g_R is continuous on the connected set $(\mu^*, 1]$ and so the image of $g_R = \text{im}(g_R)$ is connected. But $S(g_R) > 0$ by assumption, so that $\frac{d}{d\mu}g_R(\mu)$ cannot have a positive local maximum. Therefore, there exists a unique μ such that $g_R(\mu) = \mu$, or, by the lemma, a unique μ such that $f_\mu^2(c) = c$, where $K(\mu f) = RC$.

By way of (strong) induction, assume that for some $n > 1$ and for all $k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and for all $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_k$ there is a unique μ_ω such that $g_\omega(\mu_\omega) = \mu_\omega$, or $f_{\mu_\omega}^k(c) = c$. In particular, for every $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{n-1}$, $f_{\mu_\omega}^n(c) = c$ for a unique μ_ω , where $K(\mu f) = \omega_1\omega_2 \cdots \omega_{n-1}C$.

Focusing on the domains of the functions g_ω , where $\omega \in \cup_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{K}_k$, notice that by the induction hypothesis, there exists a unique μ_ω such that $g_\omega(\mu_\omega) = \mu_\omega$ which implies that for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\mu_\omega + \epsilon \in \text{dom}(g_{\omega\tau})$, $\tau \in \{L, R\}$. Therefore, $\text{dom}(g_{\omega\tau}) = (\mu_\omega, 1] = \{\mu \leq 1 \mid g_\omega(\mu) < \mu\}$ is connected, and as $g_{\omega\tau}$ is a continuous function on this connected domain, $\text{im}(g_{\omega\tau})$ is connected.

Now we claim that there exists $\delta > 0$, such that for every $\mu \in (\mu_\omega, \mu_\omega + \delta)$, $g_{\omega\tau}(\mu) > \mu$. If we assume instead that there is no such δ , then there exist $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \text{dom}(g_{\omega\tau})$ with $g_{\omega\tau}(\mu_1) < \mu_1$ and $g_{\omega\tau}(\mu_2) \geq \mu_2$, and by the intermediate value theorem, there exists μ' , $\mu_1 < \mu' < \mu_2$ such that $g_{\omega\tau}(\mu') = g_\omega(\mu')$. But $f_{\mu'}^n[g_{\omega\tau}(\mu')] = f_{\mu'}^n[g_\omega(\mu')]$ implies that $c = \mu' f(c) = \mu'$. Recall that $f(c) = 1$. This contradiction establishes the claim.

With this in mind, since $\text{dom}(g_{\omega\tau})$ is connected and for each $\mu \in \text{dom}(g_{\omega\tau})$, $S(g_{\omega\tau}) > 0$, for each $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_n$ there exists a unique μ_ω such that $g_{\omega\tau}(\mu_\omega) = \mu_\omega$, or, by the lemma, $f_{\mu_\omega}^{n+1}(c) = c$, with $K(\mu f) = \omega_1\omega_2 \cdots \omega_n C$.

This concludes the argument and we have shown that for each member of $\mathcal{C}$, the map $\mu \mapsto K(\mu f)$ is monotone. $\square$

Corollary For each member of $\mathcal{C}$, the map $\mu \mapsto h(\mu f)$ is monotone, where h represents the topological entropy.

Proof As the rate of orbit production for members of $\mathcal{C}$ can never decrease, the topological entropy of maps in the class $\mathcal{C}$ is evidently monotone with the parameter. $\square$

Note:

One computes that $S[(\mu f)_\omega^{-1}] > 0$, $\omega \in \{L, R\}$ when $\mu f(x) = 4\mu x(1-x)$ and $\mu f(x) = \mu \sin(\pi x)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Masato Tsujii, *A simple proof of monotonicity of entropy in the quadratic family*, Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems **20**(2000)925-933
- [2] N. Metropolis, M.L. Stein and Paul Stein, *On Finite Limit Sets for Transformations of the Unit Interval*, J. Comb. Theory **15**(1973), 25-44.
- [3] W.A. Beyer, R.D. Mauldin, P.R. Stein, *Shift Maximal Sequences in Function Iteration: Existence, Uniqueness, and Multiplicity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **115** (1986), 305-362

- [4] D. Singer, On Stable Orbits and Bifurcations of Maps of the Interval SIAM J. Appl. Math **35** (1978), no. 2, 260-267.
- [5] J. Milnor, W. Thurston, On Iterated Maps of the Interval Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 1342, Springer 1988
- [6] A. Douady, *Topological entropy of unimodal maps: Monotonicity for quadratic polynomials*, pp. 65-87 of *Real and Complex Dynamical Systems*, (B. Branner and P. Hjorth Eds.) (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995).
- [7] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard, *Etude dynamique des polynômes quadratiques complexes*, I (1984) & (1985), Publ. Mat. d'Orsay
- [8] W. de Melo and van Strien, *One Dimensional Dynamics*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993)
- [9] J. Taylor *A One-Parameter Family of Unimodal, Concave, Polynomial Maps of the Interval Exhibiting Multiplicities*, Advances in Applied Mathematics, vol. 12, pp. 464-481, 1991.
- [10] J. Milnor, C. Tresser, *On Entropy and Monotonicity of Real Cubic Maps*, Preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES UNIVERSITY, AL AIN,
UAE

E-mail address: john.taylor@uaeu.ac.ae